

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

5

Response:

The title is amended to be more indicative in the Amendments to the Specification section as the examiner suggested. No new matter is entered.

10 Additionally, the applicant would like to point out that the present application provides an organic light emitting diode display having heating circuit structure **for directly forming pixels having different colors in manufacturing processes**. The OLED heated by the heating circuit structure in a heating process will absorb different amount of heat energy
15 to alter its characteristics and thus the pixels having different colors are obtained. **The heating circuit structure is not used to influence the OLED during operation**. The applicant asserts that the amendment has made the title of the invention more descriptive and indicative. Consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested.

20

2. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

25 **Response:**

Claim 11 is amended to recite "a first conductive wire and a second conductive wire not connected to each other formed on the substrate" to

overcome the claim rejection as the examiner has suggested. Such amendment is fully supported by the specification. No new matter is introduced by the amendment. Reconsideration of the amended claim 11 is respectfully requested.

5

Claims 12-20 are dependent on claim 11 and should be allowed if claim 11 is allowed.

2. *Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by*
10 *Koyama (2002/0047581).*

Response:

Claim 21 is cancelled and no longer in need of consideration.

15 3. *Allowable subjected Matter*

Claims 1-20 are allowed.

Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

20 *Claims 12-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.*

Response:

25 Allowance of claims 1-10 is acknowledged and appreciated.

Claim 11 has been amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph as mentioned above. Reconsideration of the amended

Appl. No. 10/711,021
Amdt. dated October 02, 2006
Reply to Office action of July 11, 2006

claim 11 is respectfully requested.

Claim 12-20 are dependent on claim 11 and should be allowed if claim 11 is allowed.

5

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Sincerely yours,

10



Date: 10.02.2006

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526
P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.
Voice Mail: 302-729-1562
Facsimile: 806-498-6673
e-mail : winstonhsu@naipo.com

15

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.)